Rellich estimates in L^{ρ} for elliptic systems

P. Auscher¹

¹Université Paris-Sud, France

Journées Singulières Augmentées,

en l'honneur des 65 ans de Martin Costabel

29/08/13

- joint work with Mihalis Mourgoglou, available on arXiv.
- earlier work with Andreas Axelsson, Alan McIntosh, Steve Hofmann

ъ

Systems

 $\Omega = \mathbf{R}_{+}^{n+1}$. Same analysis works in unit ball and every domain obtained by bilipschitz change of variables. Points $\mathbf{x} = (t, x), t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Measurable, bounded, with $M_{m \times m}(\mathbf{C})$ -valued coefficients $A_{i,j}, i, j = 0, \dots, m \ge 1$. Weak solution: $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^{m})$ and Lu = 0 holds in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^{m})$: with summation convention

$$\mathsf{Re}\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_{i,j}^{\alpha,\beta} \partial_{j} u^{\beta} \ \overline{\partial_{i} \varphi^{\alpha}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^{m}).$$

Short notation: $A_{i,j}^{\alpha,\beta}\partial_j u^\beta \ \overline{\partial_i \varphi^\alpha} = A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi}$ and $Lu = \operatorname{div} A \nabla u$ in Ω .

i = 0 corresponds to the vertical direction, i = 1, ..., n to the horizontal directions.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- $\mathcal{E} := \dot{H}^1(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^m) =: \{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^m) : \|\nabla u\|_2 < \infty \}.$
- \mathcal{E}/\mathbf{C}^m Banach space.
- $C_0^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbf{C}^m)$ dense in \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{E} \subset C([0, \infty); L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)).$
- Trace of \mathcal{E} on \mathbf{R}^n : $\mathcal{T} = \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$. \mathcal{T} contains $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, dense. $\mathcal{T} \subset L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$). Dual $\dot{H}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$: space of distributions.
- $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{ u \in \mathcal{E} : Tr(u) \in \mathbf{C}^m \}$. $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbf{C}^m)$ dense in \mathcal{E}_0 .
- When $u \in \mathcal{E}$, Lu = 0 (energy solution) means $\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} = 0$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Conormal derivative

If $u \in \mathcal{E}$ and Lu = 0, then there exists a unique distribution $g \in \mathcal{T}'$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{\varphi} = \langle g, \varphi_0 \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Notation: $g = \partial_{\nu_A} u|_{t=0} = \partial_{\nu_A} u_0$.

Green's formula: If $u, w \in \mathcal{E}$ and $Lu = 0 = L^*w$, then

$$\langle u_0, \partial_{\nu_{A^*}} w_0 \rangle = \langle \partial_{\nu_A} u_0, w_0 \rangle.$$
(1)

글 > 글

Comparison between $\|\nabla_{\tan} u_0\|$ and $\|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|$ for energy solutions in some appropriate norm on the boundary.

Alternately, study of boundedness of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator or of the Neumann to Dirichlet operator.

Ellipticity: Assume for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathsf{Re}\int_{\Omega} A\nabla g \cdot \nabla \overline{g} \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{E}_0.$$
(2)

Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Then, there is a unique energy solution $u \in \mathcal{E}$ of the equation $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$ with $u|_{t=0} = f$ (equality also in $L^2_{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$, hence uniqueness). Moreover,

$$\|\nabla u\|_2 \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{T}} \sim \|\nabla_{\tan}f\|_{\mathcal{T}'}.$$

Hence, for any energy solution when A satisfies (2)

$$\|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_{\mathcal{T}'} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\tan} u_0\|_{\mathcal{T}'}$$

notation: *u* has smooth Dirichlet datum if $u_0 \in C_0^{\infty}$.

Neumann problem

Ellipticity: Assume for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\int_{\Omega} A \nabla g \cdot \nabla \overline{g} \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{E}.$$
(3)

Let $g \in \mathcal{T}'$. Then, there is a energy solution $u \in \mathcal{E}$, unique modulo constants, of the equation $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$ with $\partial_{\nu_A} u|_{t=0} = g$. Moreover,

$$\|\nabla u\|_2 \sim \|g\|_{\mathcal{T}'}.$$

Hence, for any energy solution when A satisfies (3)

$$\|\nabla_{tan} u_0\|_{\mathcal{T}'} \lesssim \|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_{\mathcal{T}'}$$

Notation: *u* has smooth Neumann datum if $g = \partial_{\nu_A} u_0 \in C_0^{\infty}$ with $\int g = 0$.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

- (Dir, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(u)\|_{\rho} < \infty$ and $u_0 = f$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Reg, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and
- $\nabla_{\tan} u_0 = \nabla_{\tan} f$, *f* given in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Neu, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $||N(\nabla u)||_{\rho} < \infty$ and $\partial_{\nu_A} u_{|t=0} = g$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.

 $\widetilde{N}(h)$ is non-tangential maximal interior control of *h* defined in Ω : it comes up quite naturally.

Not always solvable nor well-posed. No comprehensive theory at this time. To solve, Rellich inequalities are needed (not enough).

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

- (Dir, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and $u_{0} = f$ given in $L^{p}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.
- (Reg, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_p < \infty$ and
- $\nabla_{\tan} u_0 = \nabla_{\tan} f$, f given in $\dot{W}^{1,\rho}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Neu, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{\rho} < \infty$ and $\partial_{\nu_A} u_{|t=0} = g$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C}^m)$.

 $\widetilde{N}(h)$ is non-tangential maximal interior control of *h* defined in Ω : it comes up quite naturally.

Not always solvable nor well-posed. No comprehensive theory at this time. To solve, Rellich inequalities are needed (not enough).

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

• (Dir, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and $u_{0} = f$ given in $L^{p}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

- (Reg, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_p < \infty$ and
- $\nabla_{\tan} u_0 = \nabla_{\tan} f$, f given in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Neu, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{\rho} < \infty$ and $\partial_{\nu_{A}}u_{|t=0} = g$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

 $\widehat{N}(h)$ is non-tangential maximal interior control of *h* defined in Ω : it comes up quite naturally.

Not always solvable nor well-posed. No comprehensive theory at this time. To solve, Rellich inequalities are needed (not enough).

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほとう

• (Dir, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and $u_{0} = f$ given in $L^{p}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

- (Reg, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and
- $\nabla_{\tan} u_0 = \nabla_{\tan} f$, *f* given in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Neu, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{\rho} < \infty$ and $\partial_{\nu_{A}}u_{|t=0} = g$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

 $\widetilde{N}(h)$ is non-tangential maximal interior control of *h* defined in Ω : it comes up quite naturally.

Not always solvable nor well-posed. No comprehensive theory at this time. To solve, Rellich inequalities are needed (not enough).

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とう

• (Dir, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and $u_{0} = f$ given in $L^{p}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

- (Reg, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{p} < \infty$ and
- $\nabla_{\tan} u_0 = \nabla_{\tan} f$, *f* given in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$.
- (Neu, A, p): Solve Lu = 0 with $\|\tilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{\rho} < \infty$ and $\partial_{\nu_{A}}u_{|t=0} = g$ given in $L^{\rho}(\mathbf{R}^{n}; \mathbf{C}^{m})$.

 $\widetilde{N}(h)$ is non-tangential maximal interior control of *h* defined in Ω : it comes up quite naturally.

Not always solvable nor well-posed. No comprehensive theory at this time. To solve, Rellich inequalities are needed (not enough).

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Whitney ball:

$$W(t,x) := [(1-c_0)t, (1+c_0)t] \times B(x; c_1t),$$

for fixed $c_0 \in (0, 1), c_1 > 0$.

$$\widetilde{N}(h)(x) := \sup_{t>0} t^{-(n+1)/2} \|h\|_{L_2(W(t,x))}$$

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほとう

2

Theorem

Let $A(\mathbf{x})$ be a bounded measurable matrix with the Gårding inequality (2). Let 1 . The following are equivalent.

- There exists $C_{\rho} < \infty$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{E}$ solution of $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$, $\|\partial_{\nu_{A}} u_{0}\|_{\rho} \leq C_{\rho} \|\nabla_{\operatorname{tan}} u_{0}\|_{\rho}$.

Moreover, in any direction it suffices the assumption holds for energy solutions with smooth Dirichlet data.

The tangential gradient and conormal derivative at the boundary are distributions in \mathbf{R}^n (in \mathcal{T}'). Thus, finiteness of any of the norms above means that the distribution is identified with an element in the considered space which is also embedded in the space of distributions.

Theorem

Let $A(\mathbf{x})$ be a bounded measurable matrix with the stronger Gårding inequality (3). Let 1 . The following are equivalent.

- There exists $C_p < \infty$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{E}$ solution of $\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = 0$, $\| \nabla_{\operatorname{tan}} u_0 \|_p \leq C_p \| \partial_{\nu_A} u_0 \|_p$.
- $\begin{array}{l} \hline \textbf{O} \quad \text{There exists } \mathcal{C}_{p'} < \infty \text{ such that for any } w \in \mathcal{E} \text{ solution of} \\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}^* \nabla w = \mathbf{0}, \ \| \nabla_{\tan} w_0 \|_{\dot{W}^{-1,p'}} \leq \mathcal{C}_{p'} \| \partial_{\nu_{\mathcal{A}^*}} w_0 \|_{\dot{W}^{-1,p'}}. \end{array}$

Moreover, in any direction it suffices the assumption holds for energy solutions with smooth Neumann data.

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

No assumption on *A* but bounded + elliptic. Systems OK.

One can formulate similar results for $\frac{n}{n+1} using Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev spaces and their duals.$

No assumption on A but bounded + elliptic. Systems OK.

One can formulate similar results for $\frac{n}{n+1} using Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev spaces and their duals.$

No assumption on A but bounded + elliptic. Systems OK.

One can formulate similar results for $\frac{n}{n+1} using Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev spaces and their duals.$

No assumption on A but bounded + elliptic. Systems OK.

One can formulate similar results for $\frac{n}{n+1} using Hardy and Hardy-Sobolev spaces and their duals.$

Theorem

(Auscher-Axelsson) Assume $A(\mathbf{x}) = A(t, x) = A(x)$ is independent of t, with the Garding inequality

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A(x)f(x)\cdot\overline{f(x)}\,dx \ge \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx, \;\forall\; f\in\mathcal{H}_0,\qquad (4)$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}_0$ means $f = (f_{\perp}, f_{\parallel}) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m \otimes (\mathbf{C}^m)^n)$ with $\operatorname{curl}_{\operatorname{tan}} f_{\parallel} = 0$. Then, for any weak solution, when the LHS is finite,

$$\|N(\nabla u)\|_{2} \sim \|\nabla_{\tan} u_{0}\|_{2} + \|\partial_{\nu_{A}} u_{0}\|_{2}.$$
$$\iint_{\Omega} t |\nabla u(t,x)|^{2} dt dx \sim \|\nabla_{\tan} u_{0}\|_{\dot{W}^{-1,2}}^{2} + \|\partial_{\nu_{A}} u_{0}\|_{\dot{W}^{-1,2}}^{2}.$$

Note: This holds also for A(t, x) satisfying (4) uniformly in t and a certain Carleson type condition measuring t-regularity.

Application 1: continued

Theorem

(Jerison-Kenig and Kenig-Pipher for real equations, Auscher-Axelsson-McIntosh for systems) If A is t-independent with (4) and $A = A^*$, then for any weak solution with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_2 < \infty$ or any energy solution

 $\|\nabla_{tan} u_0\|_2 \sim \|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_2.$

Furthermore, (Dir', A, 2), (Reg, A, 2), (Neu, A, 2) are well-posed.

Here, (Dir', A, 2) is formulated as follows: Lu = 0, $u_0 = f$ given in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$ with interior control $\iint_{\Omega} t |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dt dx < \infty$ instead of $\|\widetilde{N}(u)\|_2 < \infty$.

This comparison follows from an integral identity in the spirit of the identities discovered by Rellich for eigenvalue problems. Self-adjointness is essential.

Application 1: continued

Theorem

(Jerison-Kenig and Kenig-Pipher for real equations, Auscher-Axelsson-McIntosh for systems) If A is t-independent with (4) and $A = A^*$, then for any weak solution with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_2 < \infty$ or any energy solution

 $\|\nabla_{tan} u_0\|_2 \sim \|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_2.$

Furthermore, (Dir', A, 2), (Reg, A, 2), (Neu, A, 2) are well-posed.

Here, (Dir', A, 2) is formulated as follows: Lu = 0, $u_0 = f$ given in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{C}^m)$ with interior control $\iint_{\Omega} t |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dt dx < \infty$ instead of $\|\widetilde{N}(u)\|_2 < \infty$.

This comparison follows from an integral identity in the spirit of the identities discovered by Rellich for eigenvalue problems. Self-adjointness is essential.

Application 1: continued

Theorem

(Auscher-McIntosh-Mourgoglou) If A is t-independent with (4) and A block lower-triangular ($A_{0,j} = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n), then for any weak solution with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_2 < \infty$ or any energy solution

 $\|\nabla_{\tan} u_0\|_2 \lesssim \|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_2.$

Furthermore, (Neu, A, 2) is well-posed.

Theorem

(Auscher-McIntosh-Mourgoglou) If A is t-independent with (4) and A block upper-triangular ($A_{i,0} = 0$ for i = 1, ..., n), then for any weak solution with $\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_2 < \infty$ or any energy solution

 $\|\partial_{\nu_A} u_0\|_2 \lesssim \|\nabla_{tan} u_0\|_2.$

Furthermore, (Reg, A, 2) and (Dir', A^* , 2) are well-posed.

Theorem

(Hofmann-Kenig-Mayboroda-Pipher, 2012 & 2013) If A is t-independent, real with m = 1 (equation), then there is a $2 \le p_0 < \infty$ such that (Dir, A, p) is well-posed and (Reg, A^{*}, p') is well-posed when $p_0 .$

To prove Dirichlet, they showed for any energy solution

$$\|\partial_{\nu_{A}} u_{0}\|_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\tan} u_{0}\|_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}}.$$
(5)

Their derivation of (Reg, A^{*}, p') is quite technical. Here is a simple (at least conceptually) way for existence: We have (A.-Mourgoglou) for any energy solution of $L^*u = 0$ and $1 < p' \le 2$,

$$\|\widetilde{N}(\nabla u)\|_{p'}\sim \|\nabla_{\tan}u_0\|_{p'}+\|\partial_{\nu_{A^*}}u_0\|_{p'}.$$

Apply (5) and our duality result:

 $\|\partial_{\nu_{A^*}} u_0\|_{p'} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\tan} u_0\|_{p'}$

There are more applications of these duality to positive solvability results.

Results still incomplete, especially concerning methods to obtain invertibility of the boundary maps or to prove Rellich inequalities.

Thank you!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

There are more applications of these duality to positive solvability results.

Results still incomplete, especially concerning methods to obtain invertibility of the boundary maps or to prove Rellich inequalities .

Thank you!



프 > 프

There are more applications of these duality to positive solvability results.

Results still incomplete, especially concerning methods to obtain invertibility of the boundary maps or to prove Rellich inequalities .

Thank you!

크 > 크